

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Complete if Known

FEE TRANSMITTAL

For FY 2001

DEC 09 2002

PATENT & TRADEMARK FEE
Fees are subject to annual Revision

Total Amount of Payment (\$90.00)

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- The commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:

16-1445

Pfizer Inc

- Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17

- Applicant claims small entity status.
See 37 CFR 1.27

2. Payment Enclosed: Check Credit card Money Order Other

FEE CALCULATION

1. BASIC FILING FEE

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
101	740	201	355	Utility filing fee	
106	330	206	165	Design filing fee	
107	510	207	255	Plant filing fee	
108	740	208	370	Reissue filing fee	
114	160	214	80	filing fee	
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)				0.00	

2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES

				Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid	
Total Claims	30	-25**=	-5-	X	18.00	=	90.00
Independent Claims	1	3**=	-0-	X	0.00	=	0.00
Multiple Dependent					0.00	=	0.00
Large Entity	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Small Entity	Fee code	Fee code	Fee Description	
103	18	203	9			Claims in excess of 20	
102	84	202	42			Independent claims in excess of 3	
104	280	204	140			Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	
109	80	209	42			**Reissue independent claims over original patent	
110	18	210	9			**Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	
SUBTOTAL (2)				(\$)	90.00		

** or number previously paid, if greater. For Reissues, see above

3. ADDITIONAL FEES

Fee Code	Large Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late fee or oath	
127	50	227	25	Surcharge-late filing fee or cover sheet	
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
115	110	215	55	Extension for revl within first month	
116	400	216	200	Extension for reply within second month	
117	920	217	460	Extension for reply within third month	
118	1,440	218	720	Extension for reply within fourth month	
128	1,960	228	980	Extension for reply within fifth month	
119	320	219	160	Notice of Appeal	
120	320	220	160	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
121	280	221	140	Request for oral hearing	
138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
141	1,280	241	640	Petition to revive - unintentional	
142	1,280	242	640	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
143	460	243	230	Design issue fee	
144	620	244	310	Plant issue fee	
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
123	50	123	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
126	180	126	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
146	740	246	370	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))	
149	740	249	370	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
179	710	279	355	Request for Continued Examination(RCE)	
169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	
Other (specify)					

*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

Subtotal (3)

0.00

SUBMITTED BY

Complete if applicable

Type or Printed Name	Victor Donahue	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	35,492	Telephone	212-733-2739
Signature	<i>E. C. Donahue</i>			Date 11/27/02	November 27, 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900
DEC 12 2002

RECEIVED

Response to Requirement of Restriction, September 27, 2002

Attorney Docket No. PC10228B

Ser. No. 09/863,976

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on this 27th day of November, 2002.

By _____

Vilma Pizarro
(Signature of person mailing)

Vilma Pizarro
(Typed or printed name of person)

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

DEC 12 2002

RECEIVED

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Farzan Rastinejad, et al. :

APPLICATION NO.: 09/863,976 : Examiner: J. D. Goldberg

FILING DATE: May 23, 2001 : Group Art Unit: 1614

TITLE: METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR
RESTORING CONFORMATIONAL
STABILITY OF A PROTEIN OF THE P53
FAMILY :

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Reply to Requirement of Restriction

The present Reply is in response to the Requirement of Restriction and Election mailed by the Examiner herein on September 27, 2002. A Petition for Extension of Time of one month (in duplicate) is enclosed.

The claims pending in the present application correspond identically to the claims originally filed in the parent application, serial number 09/443,542, which is being presently prosecuted and examined by Examiner Jerome D. Goldberg.

Applicants have carefully reviewed the restriction requirement. Prior to examination on the merits, and as is permitted, Applicants present herewith a new set of claims confined generally to a single independent invention for which examination is now requested.

The new claims, nos. 26-56 are directed to the method of screening for new drugs based on their interaction with and stabilization of p53 and related proteins. These claims are fully supported in the specification.

AMENDMENT

Please cancel Claims 1-25 without prejudice. Applicants reserve the right to again present these claims, or the subject matter thereof, in a following continuation application.